

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/045,028		MATSUMOTO, TSUKASA	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst		1743	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Matthew Pequignot (Appl. Rep).

Date of Interview: 17 May 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to make minor changes in claim 8 in order to provide proper antecedent basis for all recited items, and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)